

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Dependent claims 11, 12, 14 and 17, which were indicated as allowable, have been written in independent form, including all intervening claims, as new claims 35, 37, 38 and 39, respectively. It is submitted that those claims are allowable.

Claim 1 has been replaced by new claim 34 which includes the subject matter of now-canceled claims 2 and 9. Claim 34 recites that the rocker is connected to the body in a manner enabling the degree of freedom of the camber, and that the rocker is connected directly to respective first ends of upper and lower arms whose respective second ends are pivotably connected to the wheel support. In Bolaski et al. a much more complicated arrangement is provided for connecting the upper and lower arms 18, 20 to the rocker 68. There is no direct connection between those arms and the rocker as recited in claim 34 (and shown in Figs. 4-9).

In addition, Bolaski et al does not seem to disclose an arrangement wherein the instantaneous center of rotation is located within a range from $0.3r$ above ground to $0.5r$ below ground as recited in claim 34. It is not clear how the instantaneous center of rotation was determined in Bolaski et al. when preparing the Official action. That instantaneous center cannot be determined in the same manner as in the presently claimed invention because of the numerous additional parts in Bolaski's suspension. Regardless, claim 34 is deemed allowable for the reasons explained above.

Accordingly, it is submitted that claim 34 is in condition for allowance along with dependent claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 10-12, 14-17, 25, 26 and 35, and allowance of the present application is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date: July 6, 2006

By:


Alan E. Kopecki
Registration No. 25813

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404
703.836.6620